<u>REMARKS</u>

THE AMENDMENTS

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 5 to set forth the definition of variable "Het" following its introduction in the definition of variable "E."

Applicants have canceled claim 16-17, which are drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Following entry of the above amendment, claims 1-2, 4-5, 7 and 11-12 are pending, claims 18-24 are withdrawn and claims 3, 6, 8-10, 13-17 and 25-27 are canceled.

None of the above amendments adds new matter.

ADVISORY ACTION

In the April 10, 2007 Advisory Action, the Examiner indicated that the amendments submitted with the March 23, 2007 Reply were not entered because the claims have been amended to change the scope of the invention after the final rejection.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claim amendments submitted on March 23, 2007 did not change the scope of the claims. The presentation of the definition of "Het" was merely moved from following "R¹" to following "E."

Notwithstanding, applicants request entry of the above amendments in this request for continued examination.

THE REJECTION

35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. The Examiner contends that the language of claims 1 and 5 defines a "Het" group related to variable "A."

Applicants traverse. However, solely in the interest of expediting prosecution of this application, applicants have amended claims 1 and 5 to move the recitation of the variable "Het" to follow the recitation of variable "E," which is defined to include "Het." Accordingly, applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

WITHDRAWN CLAIMS 18-24

Applicants believe that the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner rejoin method claims

18-24, which are commensurate in scope with product claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 11-12.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, applicants request that the Examiner favorably reconsider this application and allow the amended claims pending therein. Should the Examiner feel that a telephone conference with applicants' representatives would assist the Examiner, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

Haren Many

James F. Haley, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,794)

Karen Mangasarian (Reg. No. 43,772) Attorneys for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP OF ROPES & GRAY LLP

Customer No. 1473

1211 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Tel.: (212) 596-9000 Fax: (212) 596-9090